Attorney Docket: 99-1335

DECLARATION

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that:

My residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name.

magnior (mpiu	iai name	s are listed be	ole inventor (if only or low) of the subject ma Data Stream Parceling	ne name is listed below) or an o tter which is claimed and for whic l,	original, firs th a patent	t and join is sought
the specificatio	n of whic	h				
(Check One):	<u>×</u>	is attached hereto. was filed on as				
		Application So	erial No.			
	and was amended on (if applicable)					
which is materia 1.56 printed on States Code §	al to the parties the reverse that the reverse the the the the the the the the the th	by any amen patentability of rse side of this ny foreign ap eign application	dment(s) reterred to all this application in acc s Declaration. I hereb plication(s) for patent on for patent or invent	ntents of the above-identified sp bove. I acknowledge the duty to ordance with Title 37, Code of Fe y claim foreign priority benefits u or inventor's certificate listed b or's certificate having a filing da	disclose in ederal Regunder Title 3	nformation ulations, § 35, United
Application No.			Country	Date of Filing	Priority (Claimed
	None				Yes	No
United States a acknowledge th	pplication e duty to between	וף subject ma in the manno disclose mate	atter of each of the cases provided by the first erial information as def	ide, § 120 of any United States aims of this application is not did paragraph of Title 35, United Sined in Title 37, Code of Federal and the national or PCT intermediate.	isclosed in tates Code	the prior , § 112, I
Applicat	Application No.		Date of Filing Status-Patented, Pending or Abandone		ed	
Nor	ne					

APPLICABLE STATUTES & RULES

37 CFR 1.56: DUTY TO DISCLOSE INFORMATION MATERIAL To PATENTABILITY.

(a) A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is sware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filling and prosecution of a petent application has a duty of candor and good fath in dealing with the Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclose information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is canceled or withdrawn from consideration or withdrawn from consideration or withdrawn from consideration in the application. The strength of a claim that is canceled or withdrawn from consideration need not be submitted if the information is not material to the patentability of any claim remaining under consideration in the application. The serve is no duty to submit information which is not material to the patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclose all information known to be material to patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclose all information known to be material to patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclose any existing claim and the Office or submitted to the Office in the manner prescribed by as 1.97(b)-(d) and 1.98. However, no patent will be granted on an application in connection with which fraud on the Office was precilized or attempted or the duty of disclosure was volated through that faith or intentional misconduct The Office encourages applicants to carefully examine:

(1) prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application, and the closest information over that it in materia

it establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability of a claim; or

R refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in;
(I) Opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or

(ii) Asserting an argument of patentability.

A prime facte case of unpatentability is established when the information compels a conclusion that a claim is unpatentable under the preponderance of evidence, burden-of-proof standard, ing each term in the claim its broadest reasonable construction consistent with the specification, and before any consideration is given to evidence which may be submitted in an attempt to establish a contrary conclusion of patentability.

Individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application within the meaning of this section are:

Each inventor named in the application;

(1) (2) (3) Each attorney or agent who prepares or prosecutes the application; and

Every other person who is substantively involved in the preparation or prosecution of the application and who is associated with the

inventor, with
the assignee or with anyone to whom there is an obligation to assign the application.

(d) Individuals other than the attorney, agent or inventor may comply with this section by disclosing information to the attorney, agent, or inventor.

35 U.S.C. 102: CONDITIONS FOR PATENTABILITY; NOVELTY AND LOSS OF RIGHT TO PATENT

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of the application for patent in the United States, or

(c)

he has abandoned the invention, or the invention, or the invention was first patented or caused to be patented, or was the subject of an inventor's certificate, by the applicant or his legal representatives or assigns in a foreign country prior to the date of the application for patent in this country on an application for patent or inventor's certificate filled more than twelve months before the filling of the application in the United States, or

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant

he did not himself invent the subject matter sought to be patented, or

the distribution in the support makes sought to be patiented, or before the applicant's invention thereof the invention was made in this country by another who had not abandoned, suppressed, or concealed it. In determining the title there shall be considered not only the respective dates of conception and reduction to practice of the invention, but also the reasonable diligence of one who was first to (a) priority of inve conceive and last to reduce to practice, from a time prior to conception by the other.

35 U.S. C. 103: CONDITIONS FOR PATENTABILITY; NON-OBVIOUS SUBJECT MATTER

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set form in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter partains. Patentability shall not be negative by the manner in which the invention was made.

Subject matter developed by another person, which qualifies as prior and under subsection (f) or (g) of section 102 of this title, shall not preclude patentability under this section where the subject matter and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same

35 U.S.C. 119: BENEFIT OF EARLIER FILING DATE IN FOREIGN COUNTY; RIGHT OF PRIORITY (Applicable Portion)

An application for petent for an invention filed in this country by any person who has, or whose legal representatives or assigns have, previously regularly filed an application for a patent for the same invention in a foreign county which affords similar privileges in the case of applications filed in the United States, or to citizens of the United States, shall have the same effect as the same application would have if filed in this county on the date on which the application for patent for the same invention was first filed in such foreign county, if the application this patent is filed within twelve months from the earliest date on which such foreign application was filed; but no patent shall be granted on any application for a breathful patent for an invention of the actual filing of the application in this country, or which had been in public use or on sale in this country more than one year prior to such filing.

\$5 U.S.C. 120: BENEFIT OF EARLIER FILING DATE IN THE UNITED STATES

An application for patent for an invention disclosed in the manner provided by the first paragraph of section 112 of this title in an application previously filed in the United States, or as provided by section 363 of this title, by the same invention shall have the same effect, as to such invention, as though filed on the date of the prior application, if filed before the patenting or abandonment of or termination of proceedings on the first application or on an application similarly entitled to the benefit of the filing date of the first application and if it contains nded to contain a specific reference to the earlier filed application.

35 U.S.C. 112: SPECIFICATION (Applicable Portion)

The Specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the making and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enabler any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make the use the same, and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctive claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

SIGNATURE(5)					
Full name of Kim C. Smith Inventor's signature					
Date	Country of Citizenship <u>USA</u>				
Residence Colleyville, TX					
Post Office Address 4012 Ramsgate Court, Collevville, TX 76	6034				
Full name of second joint inventor	·				
Inventor's signature					
Date	Country of Citizenship				
Residence					
Post Office Address					
Full name of third joint inventor					
nventor's signature					
Date	Country of Citizenship				
Residence					

Post Office Address_

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

POWER OF ATTORNEY

GATEWAY, INC., Assignee(s) of the application for United States Letters Patent for

ON DEMAND DATA STREAMING PARCELING (Title) by SMITH, Kim (Inventors) executed on the date(s) as indicated on the corresponding Declaration and Assignment therein, or <u>X</u> having Serial No. , filed a copy of the Assignment of which is attached hereto, do(es) hereby appoint as attorneys of record with full power of substitution and revocation, to prosecute this application and transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith: **CUSTOMER NUMBER 35633** Gateway, Inc. Address correspondence to: Attention: Scott Charles Richardson 610 Gateway Drive, MS Y-04 N. Sioux City, SD 57049 Telephone: (858) 848-3449 Facsimile: (605) 232-2612 I, the undersigned, declare that I am empowered to execute this Power of Attorney on behalf of the Assignee. The aboveidentified Assignee is the owner of this application by reason of an assignment being filed with the Patent Office for recordation concurrently herewith. In accordance with 37 CFR § 3.373(b), I certify that I have reviewed all documents in the chain of title, and to the best of my knowledge, all right, title, and interest is in the above-identified Assignee, and I further declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further, that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code, and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issuing thereon. Full Name of Assignee GATEWAY, INC. Post Office Address 14303 Gateway Place, Poway, CA 92064 Date 3/31/04 Signature of Declarant or Assignee Full Name of Declarant If Other Than Assignee Scott Charles Richardson, Reg. No. 43,436 Title of Declarant Staff Counsel, Patents

610 Gateway Dr., Y-04, N. Sioux City, SD 57049

Address of Declarant

BEST AVAILABLE COPY